# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

### BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



#### United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,981	06/27/2001		Roland Gerritsen van der Hoop	01722906	3783
75	90	02/26/2003			
Joseph A. Mal	noney		EXAMINER		
Mayer, Brown & PlaTT P.O. Box 2828				HUI, SAN MING R	
Chicago, IL 60690				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1617	<u></u>
				DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/892,981	VAN DER HOOP, ROLAND GERRITSEN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	San-ming Hui	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
P riod for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 N	lovember 2002						
,							
closed in accordance with the practice under la Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,7-29,45,47 and 51-73</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,7-29,45,47 and 51-73</u> is/are reject	ed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= * *						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Application	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 12</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 26, 2002 has been entered.

The amendments filed November 26, 2002 have been entered. The cancellation of claims 2, 4-6, 46, and 48-50 in the amendments filed November 26, 2002 is acknowledged.

Claims 1, 3, 7-29, 45, 47, and 51-73 are pending.

The outstanding rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view of the amendments and the remarks filed November 26, 2002.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1617

Claim 7 is depended from a cancelled claim, claim 6. Appropriate correction to correct the dependency of the claim is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 3, 7-13, 20-27, 45, 47, 51-57, and 64-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rubin (US Patent 5,5059,603), Ebert et al. (US Patent 5,152,997), and AndroGel Monograph from IDS received December 9, 2002 in view of Langtry et al. (Drugs 1999; 57(6): 967-989), Leucuta et al. (abstract of Clujul Medical, 1983;56(4):371-376), and Rheology Modifiers Handbook, 2000, page 81-88, published by William Andrew Publishing.

Rubin teaches methyl testosterone is useful in a method of treating androgen deficiency associated disorders such as impotence (See particularly col. 2, line 59 - col. 3, line 11).

Art Unit: 1617

Ebert et al. teaches testosterone therapy is a useful in a method of treating male hypogonadism and the conditions associated male hypogonadism comprising employing a matrix containing testosterone and penetration enhancer onto the skin (See col. 1, line 20-66).

AndroGel monograph teaches a testosterone hydroalcoholic gel useful to treat male hypogonadism (See Indication and Usage Section). AndroGel monograph teaches the hydroalcoholic gel comprises ethanol and isopropyl myristate (See the Description Section).

The references do not expressly teach the dosage form of the instant invention to be a gel comprising polyacrylic acid as thickening agent. The references do not expressly teach the method of treating menopause disorder, such as erectile dysfunction, by employing the combination of testosterone as a topical gel and methyltestosterone an oral dosage form, optionally with addition of sildenafil. The references do not expressly the dosage of methyltestosterone to be 0.2 mg to about 50.0mg and that of testosterone to be 0.1g to about 100.0g. The references do not teach the employment of sildenafil in the method herein.

Langtry et al. teaches that sildenafil is useful to treat erectile dysfunction (See abstract).

Leucuta et al. teaches methyltestosterone may be formulated to oral tablet with increasing bioavailability proportionally to dosage (see abstract).

Art Unit: 1617

Rheology Modifiers Handbook teaches that polyacrylic acid is a well known pharmaceutical aid as thickening agent (See page 81, page 82, page 83-84, Table 2.2a, Pharmaceutical Grades Section).

It would have been obvious to one skill in the art when the invention was made to employ the combination of testosterone as a topical gel and methyltestosterone as an oral dosage form, optionally with addition of sildenafil in a method of treating menopausal disorders in a mammal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate polyacrylic acid as thickening agent in the hydroalcoholic gel. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the herein claimed dosage in the herein claimed method.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the combination of testosterone and methyltestosterone, optionally with addition of sildenafil in a method of treating menopausal disorders in a mammal because testosterone, and methyltestosterone are all known in the art to be useful in method of treating both male menopausal disorders. Employing two of these agents which are known to be useful to treat male menopausal disorders individually into a single method useful for the very same purpose is *prima facie* obvious, absent evidence to the contrary. See *In re Kerkhoven* 205 USPQ 1069. Such composition would have been reasonably expected to be useful in treating erectile dysfunction secondary to male menopausal disorder. Further incorporation of sildenafil, which is known to treat erectile dysfunction, with the male menopausal treating steroid composition would also be reasonably expected as

Art Unit: 1617

beneficial and effective in treating erectile dysfunction. At least additive effect would be expected.

Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate polyacrylic acid as thickening agent in the hydroalcoholic gel since polyacrylic acid is a well known thickening agent. Incorporating any well known excipient into the gel formulation would be obvious as being within the purview of skilled artisan, absent showing the criticality of using such old and well known thickening agent. Moreover, the optimization of result effect parameters (e.g., dosage range, dosing regimens) is obvious as being within the skill of the artisan, absent evidence to the contrary.

Claims 1, 3, 18-23, 28-29, 45, 47, 58-67, and 72-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Place (US Patent 6,117,446) in view Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences (1990, 18<sup>th</sup> ed., pages 1305 and 1314), Merck Index (11<sup>th</sup> ed., 1989, page 821, monograph 5103), Leucuta et al. (abstract of Clujul Medical, 1983;56(4):371-376), and Rheology Modifiers Handbook, 2000, page 81-88, published by William Andrew Publishing.

Place teaches a method of hormonal replacement therapy and symptoms thereof such as female sexual dysfunction and vaginal dryness comprising a treatment of a woman with an estrogen such as estradiol and an androgenic steroid such as testosterone and methyltestosterone (See col. 7, line 35; col. 11, line 6-61). Place also teaches that the dosage of the estradiol may be 0.05 to 0.5 mg (See col. 11, line 36-61).

Art Unit: 1617

Place also teaches that the dosage of the androgenic agent such as testosterone to be 0.1 to 2.5mg (See col. 11, line 44-45). Place also teaches that the estradiol and/or testosterone can be formulated in dosage form such as lozenges and tablets (See col. 12, line 29).

The reference does not expressly teach the dosage form of the instant invention to be a gel comprising isopropyl myristate, ethanol, and polyacrylic acid. The reference does not expressly teach the method of treating menopause disorder by employing the combination of estradiol as a topical gel and methyltestosterone as an oral dosage form. The reference does not expressly the dosage of methyltestosterone to be 0.2 mg to about 50.0mg and that of estradiol to be 0.1g to about 100.0g.

Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences teaches that ethanol is a commonly used pharmaceutical solvent (See page 1314-1315).

Merck Index teaches that Isopropyl myristate is useful in topical pharmaceutical preparation where good penetration through skin is desired (See page 821, col. 1).

Leucuta et al. teaches methyltestosterone may be formulated to oral tablet with increasing bioavailability proportionally to dosage (see abstract).

Rheology Modifiers Handbook teaches that polyacrylic acid is a well known pharmaceutical aid as thickening agent (See page 81, page 82, page 83-84, Table 2.2a, Pharmaceutical Grades Section).

It would have been obvious to one skill in the art when the invention was made to incorporate ethanol, polyacrylic acid, and Isopropyl myristate as the excipients in the gel formulation herein. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 1617

time the invention was made to employ estradiol, as a topical gel and methyltestosterone, as an oral dosage form, in the herein claimed dosage, to treat female menopausal disorders in a mammal.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate ethanol, polyacrylic acid, and Isopropyl myristate as the excipients in the gel formulation herein since ethanol, polyacrylic acid, and Isopropyl myristate are well known excipient for formulating gel. Incorporating any well known excipient into the gel formulation, including ethanol, polyacrylic acid, and Isopropyl myristate, would be obvious as being within the purview of skilled artisan, absent showing the criticality of using such old and well known.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ estradiol, as a topical gel and methyltestosterone, as an oral dosage form, in the herein claimed dosage, to treat female menopausal disorders in a mammal because estradiol and methyltestosterone are known to be useful, in combination, to treat female menopausal disorders such as vaginal dryness. Administering these two agents in the herein claimed dosage form is considered obvious as being within the purview of skilled artisan. The skilled of artisan would possess all conventional administration method of the active compounds such as oral and topical administration. The selection of one or another route of administration would be seen as a simple selection from among obvious alternatives.

#### Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 7-29, 45, 47, and 51-73 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's rebuttal arguments filed November 26, 2002 averring the presence of unexpected results have been considered but are not found persuasive. Please note that it is applicant's burden to demonstrate unexpected results over the prior art. See MPEP 716.02, also 716.02 (a) - (g). Furthermore, the unexpected results should be demonstrated with evidence that the differences in results are in fact unexpected and unobvious and of both <u>statistical and practical</u> significance. *Ex parte Gelles*, 22 USPQ2d 1318, 1319 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992). Moreover, evidence as to any unexpected benefits must be "clear and convincing" *In re Lohr*, 137 USPQ 548 (CCPA 1963), and be of a scope reasonably commensurate with the scope of the subject matter claimed, *In re Linder*, 173 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1972). In the instant case, no unexpected effects are seen to be present.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming. Hui whose telephone number is (703) 305-1002. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

Art Unit: 1617

Page 10

are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

San-ming Hui February 22, 2003

> SREENI PADMANABHAN PR!MARY EXAMINER

> > 2 24 03